

The Borough Council respond to specific items raised as part of Deadline 3 as follows. For those items not explicitly referred to below, the Council's position should be taken as unchanged.

Examination Library Reference	Chapter and Title,	Summary of Changes/Issue, Paragraph/Table Reference	BCKLWN comments
REP3-030	Chapter 10: Noise and Vibration	10.1.6 This document has been updated at Deadline 3 to update the cumulative assessment to reflect the updated list of cumulative schemes (ES Appendix 2.4: Cumulative Schemes [App/6.4.1].	The addition of 1B in Table 10.7 regarding Jafa Solar Farm is welcomed, likewise the inclusion of 10.11.10, 10.11.14, 10.11.15, 10.11.16 and 10.11.25, plus the inserted text in relation to Jafa Solar Farm in 10.11.18 and 10.11.19. The Council has no further comments in regard to Noise or Vibration impacts.
REP3-067	Outline Decommissioning Strategy	The document has been updated at Deadline 3 to include additional measures related to dust monitoring and cumulative effects.	The Council note the revisions in regard to dust sensitive receptors and their grid positions being agreed with local authorities as part of the detailed CEMP. This is welcomed. The Council has no further comments at this stage.
REP3-075	outline Construction Traffic Management Plan	The document has been updated at Deadline 3 to provide clarity on construction vehicle routing.	2.3.3 confirms proposed access points for the scheme. As these three access/egress points are all directly off the A1065 between South Acre and Swaffham (confirmed in Table 2-1 'Access Strategy Overview'), construction HGVs etc will not impact on residential receptors in this Borough. The revision of 3.1.6 in regard to a daily cap of 96 two-way HGVs and 532 two-way cars / LGVs during the construction phase of the Scheme is also positive.

			Figure 4-1 now confirms that only routes A and B will be used for construction routing. Removal of route C for construction vehicle access and egress is welcomed, keeping the significant vehicle movements to the main routes rather than side roads/rural roads where noise impacts would be heightened. The Council welcomes the revisions to 8.2.1, 8.2.2, 8.2.12 and 8.2.13, along with 8.3.1 and 8.3.2, plus 8.5.3, 8.5.4, 8.5.5 and 8.5.6. Although these are mainly related to Highways aspects within the remit of National Highways or Norfolk County Council, construction traffic could have noise impacts on residential amenity, so the monitoring of vehicle movements and recording of such would be beneficial if complaints are received from residents.
REP3-079	outline Operational Traffic Management Plan	1.1.3 advises this document has also been updated at Deadline 3 to provide clarity on construction vehicle routing	Please refer to the Council's response to the oCTMP above. No further comments at this time.
REP3-073	outline Operational Environmental Management Plan	This document was updated to include additional measures related to water pollution, fire safety, dust monitoring and cumulative effects.	The Council welcomes the clarifications provided within the revised document and has no further comments at this time.
REP3-083	Technical Information Note – Landscape and Visual	This document was provided in response to Breckland District Council's earlier comments.	The additional viewpoints have been surveyed in agreement with the BCKLWN. The additional viewpoints, some of which were further considered at the ASI help to illustrate the landscape impacts associated with the scheme. The Council has no further specific comments to make.

BCKLWN responses to Deadline 3 Submissions
PIN Reference No. EN0110013 – The Drovers Solar Farm

REP3-088	Applicant's Response to ExQ2	The document provides a response to ExQ2, covering matters such as aviation, biodiversity, heritage, landscape, flood risk, grid connection.	The Council notes the Applicant's in-depth responses to ExQ2, and in general has no specific additional comment to make. The Council notes in particular the discussion of Bartholomew's Hill Plantation and the additional section plans provided. The Council has maintained throughout examination the need for Bartholomew's Hill Plantation to be adequately protected, and the additional section plans reiterate this need. Whilst comments regarding the likelihood of removal of the plantation are noted, the Council has concerns that the area's exclusion from the Order limits results in a lack of control over a very important screening feature when considering heritage impacts. Section DD within Appendix 1 of this document confirms the landscape benefit that this woodland provides for the BESS and Substation infrastructure. The prominence of Bartholomew's Hill is further evidenced by the wirelines provided on the updated photomontage figures.
-----------------	------------------------------	--	--